

Table 4 Modified Naranjo Criteria

Domains	Yes	No	Not sure or N/A
1. Was there an improvement in the main symptom or condition for which the homeopathic medicine was prescribed?	+2	-1	0
2. Did the clinical improvement occur within a plausible timeframe relative to the drug intake?	+1	-2	0
3. Was there an initial aggravation of symptoms?	+1	0	0
4. Did the effect encompass more than the main symptom or condition (i.e., were other symptoms ultimately improved or changed)?	+1	0	0
5. Did overall well-being improve? (suggest using validated scale)	+1	0	0
6A <i>Direction of cure</i> : did some symptoms improve in the opposite order of the development of symptoms of the disease?	+1	0	0
6B <i>Direction of cure</i> : did at least two of the following aspects apply to the order of improvement of symptoms: -from organs of more importance to those of less importance? -from deeper to more superficial aspects of the individual? -from the top downwards?	+1	0	0
7. Did "old symptoms" (defined as non-seasonal and non-cyclical symptoms that were previously thought to have resolved) reappear temporarily during the course of improvement?	+1	0	0
8. Are there alternate causes (other than the medicine) that—with a high probability—could have caused the improvement? (Consider known course of disease, other forms of treatment, and other clinically relevant interventions)	-3	+1	0
9. Was the health improvement confirmed by any objective evidence? (e.g., laboratory test, clinical observation, etc.)	+2	0	0
10. Did repeat dosing, if conducted, create similar clinical improvement?	+1	0	0

Note: Maximum score = 13, minimum score = -6.